Exploring the Impact of Digital Leadership on Instructional Effectiveness in Higher Education

Kong Yi[1], Julius Gat-eb[2]

[1]Doctor of Education, University of Baguio, Baguio City, General Luna Road, Philippines
[2]Principal, University of Baguio, Baguio City, General Luna Road, Philippines

Abstract: This study explores the relationship between digital leadership and instructional effectiveness among Business English faculty at Jiangsu University of Technology. A quantitative research approach was employed, utilizing a structured questionnaire to gather data on teachers' perceptions of digital leadership, technological challenges, and its influence on teaching practices. The findings indicate that while educators recognize the importance of digital leadership, institutional barriers, inadequate training, and technological limitations hinder its effective integration into instructional practices. This study highlights the need for targeted faculty development programs, enhanced digital infrastructure, and supportive institutional policies to foster digital leadership. The results provide valuable insights for informing professional development strategies and policy decisions aimed at enhancing instructional effectiveness in higher education.

Keywords: Digital leadership, instructional effectiveness, faculty development, higher education.

1. Introduction

In the rapidly evolving landscape of higher education, digital technologies have become integral to teaching and learning processes. This shift necessitates that college educators not only adapt to new tools but also develop digital leadership skills to effectively integrate these technologies into their instructional practices. Digital leadership in education encompasses the ability to leverage technology to enhance learning outcomes, foster innovation, and navigate the complexities of digital transformation within academic institutions. However, many educators face significant challenges in cultivating these competencies, which can impede their instructional effectiveness.

Recent studies underscore the critical role of digital leadership in enhancing teaching efficacy. For instance, Arham et al. (2022) conducted a meta-analysis revealing that effective digital leadership positively influences classroom performance, highlighting the necessity for educational leaders to adopt digital strategies to improve academic staff performance and student growth. Similarly, Hamzah et al.(2021) found that principals' digital leadership during the COVID-19 pandemic significantly impacted teachers' digital teaching practices in Malaysia, suggesting that leadership at the institutional level directly affects the adoption of digital tools in classrooms.

Despite the recognized importance of digital leadership, educators encounter numerous obstacles in developing these skills. A study by Yuting et al.(2022) identified several barriers, including a lack of institutional support, insufficient professional development opportunities, and resistance to change among faculty members. These challenges are often compounded by the rapid pace of technological advancements, which can overwhelm educators and hinder their ability to effectively integrate new tools into their teaching practices.

Moreover, the COVID-19 pandemic has accelerated the need for digital competencies, exposing gaps in educators' preparedness for remote and hybrid teaching models. Arham et al. (2022) emphasized that the pandemic has made digital leadership essential for institutional leaders, as all levels of education have had to adopt information and communication technology (ICT) for teaching and learning. This sudden shift has highlighted the urgency of equipping educators with the necessary digital skills to navigate the evolving educational landscape.

To address these challenges, several strategies have been proposed to enhance digital leadership among college educators. One approach involves the development of comprehensive professional development programs that focus on building digital competencies. Yuting et al.(2022) suggested that such programs should be tailored to meet the specific needs of educators, providing hands-on training and ongoing support to facilitate the integration of technology into their teaching practices.

Another recommendation is the establishment of collaborative networks that encourage knowledge sharing and peer support. Rasdiana, R., & Nurhadi, T. (2024). proposed the formation of Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) as a means to foster collective learning and innovation among educators. These communities can serve as platforms for sharing best practices, discussing challenges, and collaboratively developing solutions to enhance digital leadership skills.

Institutional policies also play a pivotal role in promoting digital leadership. Hamzah et al. (2021) advocated for the inclusion of digital leadership competencies in performance evaluations and the provision of incentives for educators who demonstrate effective use of technology in their teaching. Such measures can motivate educators to engage in continuous learning and embrace digital tools to enhance their instructional effectiveness.

In conclusion, the integration of digital technologies in higher education necessitates that educators develop robust digital leadership skills to enhance their instructional effectiveness. While challenges such as insufficient support, rapid technological changes, and resistance to change persist, targeted professional development, collaborative networks, and supportive institutional policies can serve as effective strategies to overcome these obstacles. By fostering a culture

of continuous learning and innovation, academic institutions can empower educators to navigate the complexities of digital transformation and ultimately improve student learning outcomes.

Existing studies primarily focus on the impact of digital leadership at the school administration level on educational practices. For instance, Avidov-Ungar and Shamir-Inbal (2017), in their study "ICT Coordinators' TPACK-Based Leadership Knowledge in Their Roles as Agents of Change," examined the role of ICT coordinators in driving educational transformation. However, research on how individual teachers develop and apply digital leadership remains relatively limited. This gap restricts a comprehensive understanding of teachers' roles in digital learning environments, highlighting the need for further investigation into the development of digital leadership among educators.

To bridge this research gap, the present study shifts the focus from institutional leadership to the individual digital leadership development of college educators. Unlike prior studies that primarily investigate administrative influence, this research explores how teachers perceive, cultivate, and implement digital leadership in their instructional practices. Specifically, by situating the study within higher education—particularly in the field of Business English instruction—this research aims to provide empirical evidence on the challenges educators face and the strategies they employ. The findings will not only expand theoretical discussions on digital leadership at the faculty level but also offer actionable recommendations to enhance institutional support and policy frameworks for fostering digital competencies among educators.

1.1. Theoretical and Conceptual Framework

Effective instructional leadership in the digital age necessitates a comprehensive understanding of leadership and pedagogical models that guide educational practices. This study draws upon Distributed Leadership Theory (DLT) and the Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) framework to examine how college educators develop and apply digital leadership to enhance instructional effectiveness.

Distributed Leadership Theory (DLT) posits that leadership is a collective, shared practice extending beyond individuals in formal leadership positions (Spillane, 2005). In educational settings, DLT emphasizes collaboration among principals, teachers, and staff to enhance school effectiveness and student outcomes (Leithwood & Azah, 2017). Recent studies highlight that distributed leadership fosters organizational learning and change by leveraging the collective expertise within the school community (Tian, Risku, & Collin, 2016). This approach not only distributes responsibilities but also empowers educators, leading to improved instructional practices and a positive school culture (Wenner & Campbell, 2017). By engaging multiple stakeholders in decision-making processes, DLT creates a more inclusive environment that supports continuous improvement and adaptability in educational institutions (Youngs, 2017). This study will employ DLT to explore how the distribution of leadership among college educators fosters collaboration, shared accountability, and ongoing professional development, ultimately enhancing digital instructional leadership.

The Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) framework delineates the complex interplay among technology, pedagogy, and content knowledge, serving as a foundational model for effective technology integration in education. By comprehending these interconnected domains, educators can craft instructional strategies that adeptly incorporate technological tools to enhance student learning outcomes. Recent studies have underscored that teachers possessing robust TPACK are better equipped to select and implement appropriate technologies, tailoring their instructional approaches to specific content areas and diverse student needs (Kholid et al., 2023). This comprehensive understanding enables educators to create dynamic, interactive, and student-centered learning environments that promote deeper engagement and understanding (Koehler et al., 2014). As educational technologies continue to evolve, the TPACK framework remains a critical guide for educators aiming to integrate new tools effectively while maintaining pedagogical integrity and content accuracy (Mishra, 2019). This study draws on the TPACK framework to examine how college educators integrate technology, pedagogy, and content knowledge in their teaching practices, with the survey questionnaire incorporating its principles while also considering additional relevant factors.

1.2. Significance of the Study

This study holds significant value for understanding the role of digital leadership in enhancing instructional effectiveness among college teachers, particularly within the context of Chinese higher education. As digital transformation becomes increasingly integral to teaching and learning, it is essential to explore how educators can effectively develop and apply digital leadership skills.

Focusing on Business English teachers at Jiangsu University of Technology, this research will provide insights into the specific challenges faced by faculty members in adapting to digital tools and leadership practices. The findings aim to help the university and similar institutions formulate targeted strategies to support professional development, improve instructional effectiveness, and foster a more digitally competent teaching workforce.

Moreover, the recommendations derived from this study could inform policy-making and contribute to the advancement of digital leadership initiatives across Chinese universities, ultimately enhancing the quality of higher education in the digital age.

1.3. Objectives of the Study

The aim of the study is to examine the impact of digital leadership on college teachers' instructional effectiveness. The specific objectives are:

To identify the key challenges that teachers face in developing digital leadership skills;

To Provide recommendations for enhancing digital leadership among college teachers.

1.4. METHODOLOGY

1.4.1. Study Design

This study adopted a descriptive and correlational quantitative research design using a structured questionnaire survey to examine the impact of digital leadership on college teachers' instructional effectiveness. The study was descriptive as it identified key challenges in digital leadership development and correlational as it explored the relationship between digital leadership and instructional effectiveness. The primary objective was to collect measurable data to analyze these challenges and provide recommendations for enhancing digital leadership skills.

The research focused on Business English teachers at Jiangsu University of Technology. A questionnaire consisting solely of closed-ended questions using a Likert scale was developed to assess teachers' perceptions of digital leadership, their use of digital tools, and the influence of digital practices on their instructional effectiveness.

The collected data were analyzed using statistical methods to identify trends, correlations, and common challenges. This analysis served as the foundation for developing targeted recommendations aimed at improving digital leadership and instructional effectiveness among college teachers.

This design ensured a focused, objective, and data-driven approach to understanding the role of digital leadership in higher education.

1.4.2. Population of the Study

The target population of this study consists of Business English teachers from Jiangsu University of Technology during the academic year 2023–2024. A total of seventeen (17) teachers participated in the survey. These participants provided valuable data through a structured questionnaire designed to assess their experiences with digital leadership, the challenges they face in developing digital leadership skills, and the impact of these skills on their instructional effectiveness.

Participants must be full-time or part-time faculty members teaching Business English at Jiangsu University of Technology. They should have at least one year of teaching experience to ensure they have relevant insights into digital leadership in instructional practices.

Faculty members who do not teach Business English or have less than one year of teaching experience will be excluded. Additionally, administrative staff and research-only faculty members who do not engage in teaching will not be considered for this study.

The insights gathered from this specific group will form the basis for analyzing trends, identifying key challenges, and developing recommendations to enhance digital leadership among college teachers.

1.4.3. Data Gathering Tools

This research study exclusively employed a survey questionnaire as the primary tool for data collection. The study examined the impact of digital leadership on instructional effectiveness among college teachers. The participants were 17 faculty members from the Business English Department at Jiangsu University of Technology.

The questionnaire was designed to collect relevant information regarding digital leadership and its influence on instructional practices. It consisted of two main sections. The first section, Teacher Profile and Digital Leadership Skills, gathered demographic information, including years of teaching experience, digital proficiency, and prior training in digital leadership. It also measured teachers' existing digital leadership competencies. The second section, Instructional Effectiveness and Challenges, assessed how digital leadership influenced teaching effectiveness and identified specific challenges teachers faced in developing and applying digital leadership skills in their instructional practices.

The questionnaire employed questions based on a Likert scale to ensure a standardized analysis of responses. This structure facilitated quantitative evaluation and comparison of participants' perspectives on digital leadership and instructional effectiveness.

1.4.4. Data Gathering Procedures

The data for this study were collected using a structured survey questionnaire designed to assess digital leadership among college educators and its impact on instructional effectiveness. The questionnaire covered several key areas, including teachers' experiences with digital technologies, their perceived digital leadership competencies, challenges in integrating digital tools into their teaching, institutional support for digital leadership, and barriers such as time constraints, technological limitations, and student engagement difficulties.

A permission letter was first submitted to the head of the Business English Department at Jiangsu University of Technology to obtain approval for administering the survey. The questionnaire was then created using Wenjuanxing and distributed to 17 Business English faculty members. Participation was voluntary, and all respondents were required to sign an informed consent form before completing the survey. The consent form outlined the study's objectives, procedures, potential risks, and the voluntary nature of participation. Respondents who agreed to participate provided digital signatures via the survey platform.

In cases where participants had concerns or required clarification, an assent form was provided to ensure they fully understood the study and could confirm their willingness to participate. Both the consent and assent processes emphasized that participants could withdraw at any time without consequences. Confidentiality was strictly maintained, and all personal information was anonymized to protect respondents' identities.

To ensure reliability and validity, the questionnaire underwent expert review. Reliability was measured using Cronbach's Alpha, with a score above 0.80 indicating an acceptable level of internal consistency. The survey was conducted over two weeks, during which the researcher monitored responses to ensure data accuracy and completeness.

1.4.5. Treatment of the Data

The questionnaire used questions based on a Likert scale to quantify responses effectively. This allows for a standardized analysis of the data.

Before distribution, the questionnaire underwent validity and reliability testing:

Content validity was evaluated by experts from Jiangsu University of Technology to ensure the relevance and clarity of the questions.

Reliability was measured using the Cronbach's Alpha method, ensuring the internal consistency of the survey tool. A Cronbach's Alpha score of 0.80 or higher was considered acceptable for the questionnaire.

All 17 participants were contacted via email to complete the survey voluntarily. Respondents were assured of the confidentiality of their data, and participation were strictly voluntary. The collected data was serve as the foundation for analyzing the challenges teachers face and developing recommendations for enhancing digital leadership skills.

Table 1. Scale of Interpretation for Assessing Teachers' Perceptions of Digital Leadership and Instructional Effectiveness

Weight	Mean Range	Adjectival Rating	Descriptive Interpretation
4	3.51-4.00	Strongly Agree	Demonstrates a very high level of digital leadership competence and instructional effectiveness; consistently applies digital tools and strategies to enhance teaching quality and student engagement.
3	2.51-3.50	Agree	Exhibits a good level of digital leadership competence and instructional effectiveness; frequently uses digital tools and strategies to support effective teaching practices.
2	1.51-2.50	Disagree	Shows a moderate level of digital leadership competence and instructional effectiveness; uses digital tools inconsistently and requires improvement in integrating technology into teaching.
1	1.0-1.50	Strongly Disagree	Displays a low level of digital leadership competence and instructional effectiveness; rarely applies digital tools or struggles to effectively integrate technology into teaching.

1.4.6. Ethical Considerations

Several important ethical aspects were taken into account during the conduct of this study. First and foremost, the researcher sought permission from all participants before initiating any part of the research. Additionally, participants were fully informed about their roles and responsibilities in the study, as well as the objectives and purpose of the survey and inquiries. Their informed consent was obtained to ensure voluntary and active participation throughout the research process.

Once approval was granted and all relevant ethical requirements were met, the researcher proceeded with data collection by personally distributing the survey questionnaires to the participants. Throughout the entire process—from maintaining participant anonymity to administering the questionnaire, processing the data, analyzing the results, and presenting the findings—ethical research principles were strictly followed. Participants were provided with an informed consent form, which clarified their rights as participants in social research.

The informed consent form was sent to respondents via email, where they were required to answer "Yes" or "No" to confirm their voluntary participation. The form also emphasized that their involvement was entirely optional, and they could withdraw from the study at any time. Participants were assured that all collected data would remain confidential, and they had the right to ask questions throughout the process.

Participants were assured that all collected data would remain confidential, and they had the right to ask questions throughout the process. Before participation, each respondent was required to review and sign a consent form, which outlined the study's objectives, potential risks, and their rights as participants. Additionally, an assent form was provided to further confirm their voluntary participation. These forms ensured that participants were fully informed and that measures were taken to prevent any long-term physical, emotional, or psychological harm. (See Appendix B for details.)

For participants who opt for non-disclosure, their identities will not be recorded, and any identifying details will be removed from the dataset. Additionally, any specific information they choose not to disclose will be excluded from the final analysis. Data will be stored securely and used solely for research purposes, with access restricted to the research team.

Participants were assured that all collected data would remain confidential, and they had the right to ask questions throughout the process. Participation in this study does not require any financial contribution or the use of personal resources, such as purchasing software, internet access, or printing materials. All necessary materials will be provided by the researcher, ensuring that participation does not impose any financial or logistical burden on respondents.

Participants were assured that all collected data would remain confidential, and they had the right to ask questions throughout the process. This study does not involve any invasive or medical procedures, such as venipuncture or incision. There are no physical risks associated with participation, as the research solely relies on a structured questionnaire survey. Thus, no specific risk management strategy for medical safety is required.

The researcher contacted participants through email and other communication channels, ensuring that no financial contribution was required from respondents during the data collection phase. To safeguard the privacy of participants, pseudonyms or consistent anonymized codes were used throughout the manuscript to replace any identifiable information. This method ensured that personal and sensitive information remained protected.

Participation in the study was entirely voluntary, and participants were reminded of their right to withdraw at any stage of the research. To ensure transparency, the study's findings will be shared with participants through academic conferences and publications in peer-reviewed journals.

To minimize any potential harm or risk, a thorough risk assessment was conducted, identifying possible concerns such as psychological stress, anxiety, privacy breaches, and cultural sensitivity. The informed consent form clearly outlined the study's purpose, process, and potential impact, aiming to reduce uncertainty and anxiety among participants. Additionally, support services, including mental health resources, were made available to help participants manage any emotional stress. Strong data protection measures, such as anonymization and pseudonymization, were applied to protect participants' identities and personal information. Furthermore, training was provided to the research team to ensure cultural sensitivity and prevent unintended cultural misunderstandings.

This design ensures a focused, objective, and data-driven approach to understanding the role of digital leadership in higher education. The research is scheduled to be completed within the planned timeframe, starting from January 5 and concluding on March 19. The majority of the time will be allocated to the validation of research instruments to ensure

data reliability.

2. Results and Discussion

This section examines the impact of digital leadership on college teachers' instructional effectiveness. The findings highlight challenges in adapting to technology, engaging students, and implementing innovative teaching. Institutional support and resource limitations also hinder digital leadership development. Based on these insights, recommendations are provided to enhance digital leadership in higher education.

Table 2. Key challenges that teachers face in developing digital leadership skills

Indicators	Mean	Std. Dev.	Adjectival Rating
I have difficulty in adapting to using new digital tools.	3.35	0.49	Moderate
I have difficulty effectively using digital technologies for teaching.	3.53	0.51	High
I find it challenging to encourage students to engage in digital learning.	3.29	0.47	Moderate
The resources provided by my institution to enhance digital leadership are insufficient.	2.53	0.51	Moderate
I lack confidence in guiding my colleagues to use digital tools effectively.	2.88	0.49	Moderate
I encounter challenges in effectively delivering online instruction.	2.94	0.24	Moderate
It is difficult to increase interactivity in digital classrooms.	3.18	0.39	Moderate
I struggle to meet the individualized learning needs of students through digital means.	3.41	0.51	Moderate
I rarely receive useful student feedback on my digital teaching approaches.	2.88	0.33	Moderate
My limited digital leadership skills hinder my ability to implement innovative teaching strategies.	3.24	0.44	Moderate
Lack of time is a major barrier to developing digital leadership skills.	2.59	0.51	Moderate
My proficiency with existing digital tools is insufficient.	2.47	0.51	Low
The support provided by my institution for digital leadership development is inadequate.	2.18	0.39	Low
Limitations in technological equipment hinder my digital teaching effectiveness.	2.12	0.33	Low
I face uncertainty about the long-term institutional commitment to digital leadership development.	3.41	0.51	Moderate
Area mean	2.93		Moderate

The analysis reveals that college teachers encounter significant challenges in developing digital leadership skills. The mean score of 3.35 for the statement "I have difficulty in adapting to using new digital tools" suggests a moderate level of discomfort among educators when integrating new technologies. Similarly, the statement "I have difficulty effectively using digital technologies for teaching" received a mean score of 3.53, indicating a high level of concern regarding digital competence. These findings align with recent research emphasizing the importance of digital leadership in enhancing knowledge sharing and emotional intelligence among faculty members (Anwar & Saraih, 2024). In the context of Jiangsu colleges and universities, these challenges are particularly evident. While digital transformation has been a key focus in higher education, many teachers still struggle with effectively integrating digital tools into their teaching practices. One major reason is that faculty development programs often emphasize technical skills rather than strategic leadership in digital education. Moreover, lack of time remains a significant barrier to developing digital leadership skills, with a mean score of 2.59.

Many educators face heavy workloads, balancing teaching, administrative responsibilities, and research commitments, leaving little room for digital skill enhancement. Although some universities offer training sessions, they primarily cover the basics of digital tools rather than comprehensive guidance on how to lead digital teaching effectively.

Furthermore, teachers reported challenges in engaging students in digital learning environments, with a mean score of 3.29 for the statement "I find it challenging to encourage students to engage in digital learning." This underscores the need for effective digital leadership to enhance student engagement and learning outcomes. A major factor contributing to this issue is the variation in students' digital learning preferences and engagement levels. Some students struggle with self-directed learning, which is often required in digital education, while others feel disengaged due to a lack of interactive elements in online courses. Many digital learning environments in Jiangsu universities still rely on passive content delivery rather than active learning strategies, making it difficult for teachers to foster student participation. Additionally, the lack of sufficient interactive digital tools,

such as virtual simulations and online discussions, limits opportunities for meaningful engagement.

In summary, the development of digital leadership among Jiangsu college teachers faces multiple challenges, including adaptation difficulties, technological proficiency gaps, and engagement barriers. Addressing these issues requires a more structured and comprehensive approach to faculty training, investment in interactive digital resources, and institutional support for integrating digital leadership into teaching practices.

Institutional support is crucial for developing digital leadership among educators. However, the statement "The resources provided by my institution to enhance digital leadership are insufficient" had a mean score of 2.53, reflecting moderate dissatisfaction with available resources. Uncertainty regarding the institution's long-term commitment to digital leadership development remains a challenge, as reflected in the mean score of 3.41. Without a clear strategic direction, educators may lack the confidence and motivation to invest in long-term digital skill development. Additionally, "The support provided by my institution for digital leadership development is inadequate" scored 2.18, indicating a low level of institutional support. These perceptions suggest that many institutions may lack the necessary infrastructure and support systems to promote digital leadership effectively. In Jiangsu colleges and universities, institutional support for digital leadership remains insufficient due to several factors. Firstly, although universities have invested in digital education, much of the funding has been allocated to hardware acquisition rather than faculty training and development. While institutions have provided smart classrooms, online learning platforms, and digital libraries, many teachers report a lack of structured training programs that focus on digital leadership. The emphasis has primarily been on technical skills rather than leadership strategies, leaving educators without sufficient guidance on how to integrate digital tools effectively into their teaching.

Moreover, limitations in technological equipment were highlighted as a significant barrier, with the statement "Limitations in technological equipment hinder my digital teaching effectiveness" receiving a mean score of 2.12. This finding aligns with studies emphasizing the need for robust digital infrastructure to support innovative teaching practices (Jameson, 2013). A key issue in universities in China is the uneven distribution of digital resources. While leading universities may have well-equipped digital learning centers, smaller institutions often lack the financial capacity to provide cutting-edge technology. As a result, faculty members in less well-funded universities face difficulties in accessing advanced digital tools, leading to disparities in digital teaching capabilities across institutions. Furthermore, many universities rely on outdated learning management systems, which lack the interactive features necessary for modern digital education.

To address these issues, universities must not only invest in digital infrastructure but also prioritize faculty development in digital leadership. This includes offering structured training programs, fostering interdisciplinary collaboration, and integrating digital leadership competencies into professional development initiatives. Only by strengthening institutional support can colleges effectively enhance teachers' digital leadership capabilities and improve instructional effectiveness.

The data indicates that limited digital leadership skills negatively affect instructional effectiveness. For instance, the statement "My limited digital leadership skills hinder my ability to implement innovative teaching strategies" had a mean score of 3.24, suggesting that educators feel their lack of digital proficiency impedes pedagogical innovation. Additionally, many educators struggle with mastering the digital tools already available to them, as indicated by the mean score of 2.47. This limitation reduces their ability to integrate technology effectively in teaching, highlighting the need for continuous professional development programs that focus not only on new technologies but also on maximizing the potential of existing digital resources. Additionally, challenges in delivering online instruction were noted, with a mean score of 2.94 for the statement "I encounter challenges in effectively delivering online instruction."

These findings are consistent with research highlighting the role of digital leadership in enhancing instructional practices and student outcomes (Anwar & Saraih, 2024). Effective digital leadership enables educators to integrate technology seamlessly into their teaching, thereby improving instructional effectiveness. However, in many Chinese universities, particularly in non-first-tier cities, digital leadership remains underdeveloped due to several factors.

First, many institutions lack comprehensive digital leadership training for faculty members. While universities in developed regions such as Beijing, Shanghai, and Shenzhen have invested significantly in digital pedagogy, universities in less economically developed provinces often lag in offering structured training programs. As a result, many educators rely on outdated teaching methods and struggle to integrate technology effectively into their instructional practices.

Second, the digital infrastructure in some universities remains inadequate. While elite universities have access to advanced learning management systems (LMS), AI-powered teaching assistants, and interactive virtual classrooms, many institutions still rely on basic online platforms with limited functionality. This disparity in technological resources further exacerbates the gap in digital leadership skills among educators. Lastly, faculty members often face resistance to change due to a lack of motivation and institutional incentives. Many educators, especially those accustomed to traditional teaching methods, perceive digital transformation as an additional burden rather than an opportunity for pedagogical enhancement. Without clear institutional incentives and recognition mechanisms, teachers may be reluctant to invest time and effort into developing digital leadership competencies.

3. Recommendations for Enhancing Digital Leadership Among College Teachers

To effectively address the challenges associated with digital leadership, universities must adopt a comprehensive approach that fosters both technical proficiency and strategic vision among educators. This requires structured training, investments in digital infrastructure, and incentive mechanisms that encourage faculty to embrace digital transformation.

Firstly, Targeted Digital Leadership Training is needed. A structured training program is essential to equip faculty with the necessary digital competencies and leadership skills. Many educators, particularly those accustomed to traditional teaching methods, may struggle with integrating digital tools

into their instruction. Universities should offer specialized training that not only covers technical skills but also emphasizes the strategic application of digital tools in pedagogy. These programs should include interactive workshops, peer mentoring, and continuous support to ensure faculty members gain confidence and practical expertise. Collaborations with EdTech companies and experienced digital leaders can further enhance the quality and relevance of these initiatives.

Secondly, digital infrastructure and resource access should be strengthened. A robust digital infrastructure is fundamental to supporting effective digital teaching and leadership. Some universities, particularly those outside major urban centers, face constraints such as limited access to advanced learning management systems (LMS), slow internet connectivity, and outdated digital tools. Institutions must allocate sufficient resources to modernize their infrastructure, ensuring faculty have access to high-speed internet, cutting-edge educational software, and interactive learning platforms. Establishing centralized digital resource hubs can also provide faculty with high-quality instructional materials, real-time technical support, and collaborative tools that facilitate innovation in digital teaching.

Thirdly, incentives to promote digital leadership should be established. To encourage faculty engagement in digital leadership, universities should introduce structured incentive programs that recognize and reward educators for their efforts in digital innovation. Many faculty members may be hesitant to adopt new digital practices due to increased workload or lack of institutional recognition. Performance-based rewards, such as grants for digital teaching research, awards for excellence in digital pedagogy, and professional development credits for participation in digital training, can motivate faculty to actively engage in digital transformation. Moreover, integrating digital leadership achievements into faculty promotion criteria will reinforce the importance of digital competencies in academic career advancement.

By systematically addressing these challenges through targeted training, infrastructure enhancement, and structured incentives, universities in China can significantly improve faculty digital leadership. This will not only enhance the effectiveness of instructional practices but also promote deeper student engagement and overall educational quality in the digital era.

References

[1] Arham, A. F., Norizan, N. S., Arham, A. F., Hasbullah, N. N., Malan, I. N. B., & Alwi, S. (2022, March). Digital leadership in education: A meta-analysis review. In International Conference on Business and Technology (pp. 849-857). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-26956-1_79

[2] Anwar, S., & Saraih, U. N. (2024). Digital leadership in the digital era of education: enhancing knowledge sharing and emotional intelligence. International Journal of Educational Management, 38(6), 1581-1611.

https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/ijem-11-2023-0540/full/html

[3] Avidov-Ungar, O., & Shamir-Inbal, T. (2017). ICT Coordinators' TPACK-based Leadership Knowledge in their Roles as Agents of Change. J. Inf. Technol. Educ. Res., 16, 169-188.

[4] Hamzah, N. H., Nasir, M. K. M., & Wahab, J. A. (2021). The Effects of Principals' Digital Leadership on Teachers' Digital Teaching during the COVID-19 Pandemic in Malaysia. Journal of Education and E-Learning Research, 8(2), 216-221. https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1300492

[5] Jameson, J. (2013). e-L eadership in higher education: T he fifth "age" of educational technology research. British Journal of Educational Technology, 44(6), 889-915.

https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12103

[6] Kholid, M. N., Hendriyanto, A., Sahara, S., Muhaimin, L. H., Juandi, D., Sujadi, I., ... & Adnan, M. (2023). A systematic literature review of Technological, Pedagogical and Content Knowledge (TPACK) in mathematics education: Future challenges for educational practice and research. Cogent Education, 10(2), 2269047.

https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2023.2269047

[7] Koehler, M. J., Mishra, P., Kereluik, K., Shin, T. S., & Graham, C. R. (2014). The technological pedagogical content knowledge framework. Handbook of research on educational communications and technology, 101-111.

https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-3185-5_9

[8] Leithwood, K., & Azah, V. N. (2016). Characteristics of effective leadership networks. Journal of Educational Administration, 54(4), 409-433.

https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/jea-08-2015-0068/full/html

[9] Mishra, P. (2019). Considering contextual knowledge: The TPACK diagram gets an upgrade. Journal of digital learning in teacher education, 35(2), 76-78.

https://doi.org/10.1080/21532974.2019.1588611

[10] Spillane, J. P. (2005). Distributed leadership. In The educational forum (Vol. 69, No. 2, pp. 143-150). Taylor & Francis Group.

https://doi.org/10.1080/00131720508984678

[11] Tian, M., Risku, M., & Collin, K. (2016). A meta-analysis of distributed leadership from 2002 to 2013: Theory development, empirical evidence and future research focus. Educational Management Administration & Leadership, 44(1), 146-164.

https://doi.org/10.1177/1741143214558576

[12] Wenner, J. A., & Campbell, T. (2017). The theoretical and empirical basis of teacher leadership: A review of the literature. Review of educational research, 87(1), 134-171.

https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654316653478

[13] Youngs, H. (2017). A critical exploration of collaborative and distributed leadership in higher education: Developing an alternative ontology through leadership-as-practice. Journal of higher education policy and management, 39(2), 140-154.

https://doi.org/10.1080/1360080X.2017.1276662

[14] Yuting, Z., Adams, D., & Lee, K. C. S. (2022). The relationship between technology leadership and teacher ICT competency in higher education. Education and Information Technologies, 27(7), 10285-10307. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11037-0

[15] Rasdiana, R., & Nurhadi, T. (2024). The effect of digital leadership in nurturing teachers' innovation skills for sustainable technology integration mediated by professional learning communities. Journal of Infrastructure, Policy and Development, 8(10). https://doi.org/10.24294/jipd.v8i10.8480

[16] Zhu, R., Alias, B. S., Hamzah, M. I. M., & Ab Hamid, M. R. (2024). A Threefold Examination of University Digital Leadership, Teacher Digital Competency, and Teacher

Technology Behavior for Digital Transformation of Education. International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research, 23(10), 272-289. https://doi.org/10.26803/ijlter.23.10.13

[17] Ruiz, S. V. C., & Muñoz, S. D. P. (2025). Challenges and Opportunities for Digital Leadership in the Transformation of Educational Organisations: Developing Digital, Soft, Intercultural, and Inclusive Skills. Multidisciplinary Organizational Training of Human Capital in the Digital Age, 25-58. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-7086-5.ch002

[18] Carbonaro, A., & Breen, J. M. (Eds.). (2021). Effective leadership for overcoming ICT challenges in higher education: What faculty, staff and administrators can do to thrive amidst the chaos. Emerald Publishing Limited.

[19] Pu, R., Dong, R. K., & Jiang, S. (2024). Toward the Education for Sustainable Development (ESD): Digital leadership and knowledge-sharing behavior on the higher education institutional change. Education and Information Technologies, 1-23. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-13247-0

[20] Wang, J., Wen, M.-L., & Jou, M. (2014). Identifying students' difficulties when learning technical skills via a wireless sensor network. Interactive Learning Environments, 24(3), 396–408. https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2013.851091

[21] Wenner, J. A., & Campbell, T. (2017). The theoretical and empirical basis of teacher leadership: A review of the literature. Review of educational research, 87(1), 134-171.