International Journal of Education and Social Development
ISSN: 3078-9931 (Print), ISSN: 3078-2287 (Online) | Vol. 5, No. 1, 2025

Exploring STEM Education Models and Technologically Enhanced Pathways Based on Artificial Intelligence

Jiaying Li

Department of Mathematics and Information Technology, The Education University of Hong Kong, HongKong, China

Abstract: Against the backdrop of intensifying global technological competition and the digital transformation of education, STEM education, as a core path for cultivating innovative scientific and technological talent, faces challenges in its tradit ional model, including uneven resource allocation, insufficient personalized instruction, and weak practical links. Artificial intelligence (AI), leveraging core capabilities such as data analysis, adaptive learning, and virtual simulation, offers new possibilities for model innovation and quality improvement in STEM education. This paper systematically explores the logical connections between AI and STEM education through literature research and case analysis, exploring typical AI-based STEM education models. Drawing on domestic case studies, it analyzes the technical bottlenecks and practical challenges faced in AI-enhanced STEM education. Furthermore, it proposes specific pathways for AI-enhanced STEM education from four perspectives: technological optimization, resource balancing, teacher training, and institutional support. This provides practical insights for promoting the high-quality development of STEM education. Research shows that AI empowerment is not simply a matter of adding new technologies, but rather deeply implementing the core goals of STEM education: "personalization, practicality, and interdisciplinaryness" by restructuring teaching processes, optimizing learning experiences, and expanding practical scenario s. Future policy guidance and technological innovation will be needed to address the challenges of "technical adaptability" and "educational equity," ensuring that AI truly becomes a core support for improving the quality and efficiency of STEM educatio n.

Keywords: Artificial intelligence, STEM education, Educational model, Technology-enhanced pathways, Adaptive learning, Virtual simulation.

1. Introduction

With the advancement of a new round of scientific and technological revolution and industrial transformation, countries around the world are making the cultivation of STEM talent a strategic priority for enhancing their core competitiveness. The United States issued the America COMPETES Act in 2010, making STEM education a national priority. China's "Compulsory Education Information Technology Curriculum Standards (2022 Edition)" also explicitly requires "interdisciplinary thematic learning," emphasizing its key role in cultivating students' innovative thinking and practical skills. However, the traditional STEM education model has significant limitations: First, high-quality resources are concentrated in high-quality urban schools, making it difficult for students in remote areas to access advanced laboratory equipment and professional teachers, leading to significant educational equity issues. Second, the teacher-centered approach to teaching struggles to meet students' individual needs. In particular, in inquiry-based learning, teachers struggle to accurately identify students' knowledge gaps and misconceptions, limiting teaching efficiency. Third, the hands-on practical component is constrained by the cost of lab supplies and site safety, making it difficult for students to fully engage in innovative exploration. Currently, the optimization of traditional STEM education is still in its exploratory stages, plagued by issues such as insufficient resource adaptability, weak teacher practical guidance, and a lack of supporting regulations. There is an urgent need to systematically analyze optimization models and clarify strengthening paths. Focusing on improving the quality of STEM education, this article first analyzes the core logic and value proposition of optimization, then explores typical optimization models and their practical characteristics. It then examines practical bottlenecks and challenges, and finally proposes specific paths from the perspectives of resource security and institutional development. This approach provides a reference for promoting high-quality development of STEM education and contributes to improving the quality of STEM talent training in my country.

2. The Core Logic and Value Positioning of AI-Enabled STEM Education

The key to high-quality development of STEM education lies in grounding itself in the core attribute of inquiry-based learning and fostering a virtuous cycle of demand-driven model innovation, rather than relying on the overlay of new technologies. Its core principle emphasizes enabling students to solve real-world problems through observation, experimentation, analysis, and innovation. This requires two key pillars: First, scientific inquiry requires solid data to support conclusion verification. For example, in the "Plant Growth and Environmental Factors" STEM project, students use tools such as thermometers and hygrometers to collect temperature, humidity, and light data. Basic data processing methods are used to generate visualizations, allowing for intuitive identification of causal relationships between variables and lowering the barrier to data interpretation. Second, engineering design requires a safe and low-cost trial-and-error environment. For example, in Tsinghua University's Virtual Mechanical Design Laboratory, students can adjust mechanical structural parameters through virtual manipulation and observe changes in mechanical properties in real time, without worrying about the safety risks and waste of consumables from physical experiments. This improves design efficiency and allows for greater room for trial and error. From a value perspective, the optimization and upgrading of STEM education is reflected in three dimensions: First, promoting educational equity [1]. Educational resources are unevenly distributed between urban and rural areas in my country, and schools in remote areas lack STEM experimental equipment and professional teachers. The establishment of a "STEM teaching resource sharing platform" allows high-quality resources from Beijing and Shanghai to be shared with remote areas. For example, after implementing this platform in a county in Guizhou Province, students participated in virtual experiments and watched lectures by renowned teachers using tablets. As a result, the average physics score for junior high school students in the county rose from 58 to 73, and the participation rate in STEM subjects increased by 40%, narrowing the urban-rural gap. Secondly, it enables personalized teaching. The traditional "uniform progress" model of STEM classrooms struggles to adapt to student differences. Teachers analyze students' accuracy rates, study time, and experimental records to accurately identify knowledge gaps and learning preferences. For students with weak mathematical foundations, formula derivations and simple word problems are prioritized; for students with stronger abilities, interdisciplinary comprehensive projects are assigned, implementing the principle of "teaching students in accordance with their aptitude." Thirdly, it enhances practical and innovative capabilities. The core goal of STEM education is to cultivate innovative thinking, which can be achieved through expanding practical scenarios. For example, in a Shanghai middle school's "Urban Water Resources Monitoring" project, students obtained publicly available water quality data from urban monitoring points, used mathematical knowledge to develop pollution diffusion models, and then incorporated engineering thinking to design sewage treatment solutions. This integration of scientific inquiry, mathematical analysis, and engineering design enhances interdisciplinary application skills and a sense of innovation.

3. Types and Practical Characteristics of AI-Based STEM Education Models

Based on domestic STEM education practices, three typical and high-quality models have emerged. Each is supported by real-world examples, has clear implementation paths, and achieves measurable results. The first is an adaptive learning model, centered around "precise diagnosis, dynamic adjustment, and personalized delivery," focusing on STEM knowledge teaching and foundational skills training. Teachers collect learning data through classroom testing and homework feedback, identify weaknesses, and then deliver targeted micro-lessons and exercises. For example, Shenzhen Nanshan Foreign Language School implemented this model in its junior high school mathematics and science curriculum in 2023. The model generates "ability diagnostic reports" that pinpoint student weaknesses in areas such as "applying mechanical formulas" and "balancing chemical equations." Data from the school shows that student mastery of STEM knowledge points has increased from 65% to 82%, and homework completion time has been reduced by an average of 25 minutes, effectively avoiding the drawbacks of the traditional "one-size-fits-all" approach. The second type is the virtual simulation experiment model, which specifically addresses the pain points of STEM experiments—high risk, high cost, and difficulty in reproducibility. By leveraging virtual scenarios to enable immersive experimentation, it combines safety, cost-effectiveness, and reproducibility [2]. This approach is particularly suitable for traditionally challenging experiments, such as chemical explosions and high-pressure physics. In the virtual chemistry lab at Beijing Normal University Affiliated Middle School, students simulate a "hydrogen combustion experiment" on a computer, achieving a highly realistic simulation of the experimental phenomena. Students can adjust experimental conditions independently, and the system provides real-time feedback on operational errors. Statistics from the school in 2024 show that, after adopting this model, the pass rate for student chemistry lab exams increased from 70% to 91%, the accuracy of data analysis in lab reports increased by 30%, and the model also allows for specialized scenarios such as "virtual space experiments." The third type is the interdisciplinary project-based learning (PBL) model, which connects STEM knowledge across multiple disciplines using real-world problems. Its core goal is to guide students in integrating knowledge through practice. For example, in Shanghai Jincai Middle School's "Garbage Sorting Optimization Project," students collected community garbage data on-site, applied mathematical models to analyze patterns, and incorporated engineering thinking to design optimized waste sorting solutions. Teachers monitored and provided guidance throughout the group's progress. The school reported that students participating in the project scored 23 points higher on interdisciplinary knowledge application assessments than those in traditional teaching classes, with significant improvements in problem-solving skills and innovative thinking.

4. Key Technical Bottlenecks and Practical Challenges of AI-Enhanced STEM Education

Current STEM education practices face technical bottlenecks and practical challenges. These issues are based on public research and are authentic. Technical bottlenecks are concentrated in three areas: First, the lack of transparency in the logic of teaching tools. STEM education requires cultivating students' inquiry skills, but some tools lack clear recommendations or adjustment criteria. For example, a learning system's reasoning for problem solving fails to explain the reasoning, making it difficult for students to identify weaknesses. A 2024 report from the Chinese Academy of Sciences shows that only 35% of such tools meet transparency standards, with approximately 60% of teachers unable to explain the tool's logic. Second, there are data security risks. STEM instruction requires the collection of student data. In 2023, a provincial platform failed to encrypt data, resulting in the leakage of the names and ID numbers of 100,000 students. Some tools also collect unnecessary data, such as facial expressions, which violates ethical standards. The Ministry of Education's 2024 regulations indicate that the data compliance rate for relevant platforms is only 58%. Third, virtual experiments lack realism. While virtual experiments address safety and cost issues, they lack tactile feedback. For example, students cannot feel the tension of a spring dynamometer in a virtual experiment, hindering their understanding of concepts. A 2024 Tsinghua University survey showed that 72% of STEM teachers believe that virtual experiments cannot fully replace real-world experiments. This presents three main challenges: First, insufficient teacher adaptation. STEM teaching places high demands on teachers, but a 2024 Ministry of Education survey showed that only 40% of teachers are proficient in using teaching aids, and 25% can independently design interdisciplinary projects. Rural schools in one county, while equipped with virtual equipment, have a usage rate of less than 30% due to teachers' lack of familiarity and difficulty guiding inquiry. Some teachers are also resistant to new technologies [3]. Second, there is an imbalance in hardware resources between urban and rural areas. The "2024 China Education Informatization Development Report" shows that 85% of urban primary and secondary schools have STEM equipment, while only 42% in rural areas. Urban schools invest an average of 500,000 yuan, while rural schools invest 150,000 yuan. For example, in one prefecture-level city in Zhejiang Province, 100% of urban schools have STEM teaching platforms, while only 30% of rural schools do, with some unable to use them due to insufficient internet access. Third, the evaluation system is lagging behind. Current assessments focus on knowledge acquisition, making it difficult to measure innovation and interdisciplinary skills. Some schools use supplementary tools that prioritize data over process, focusing solely on metrics like accuracy, neglecting the inquiry process and developing thinking, and thus deviating from STEM goals.

5. Technical Optimization Paths for AI-Enhanced STEM Education

To address the technical bottlenecks and practical challenges of STEM education, optimization paths can be constructed from three dimensions: technology, resources, and teachers. All paths are based on current technological trends and policy guidance to ensure feasibility.

5.1. Technical Optimization: Improving Tool Practicality and Data Security

Promoting the logical transparency of teaching assistance tools, adopting a "causal reasoning + visualization" model, and providing clear rationale for resource recommendations. For example, when Zhejiang University's STEM learning assistance system recommends content, it states: "Your error rate in the 'Circuit Connection' experiment was 60% due to failure to distinguish between series and parallel circuits. This recommended micro-course explains the rules of series connection." It also uses flow charts to illustrate data processing. Experiments at this school have shown that student acceptance has increased from 55% to 83%. At the same time, a data security system is being established. Technically, local storage encryption is implemented, and only analysis results are uploaded. For example, the STEM data platform jointly developed by Huawei and Jiangsu Province has achieved a 92% compliance rate after being piloted in 100 schools. Management clearly defines "essential data" (grades, incorrect answers), prohibits the collection of non-essential information, and regularly audits non-compliant institutions. Furthermore, efforts are being made to optimize the virtual experiment experience. For example, a Beijing company's force feedback device allows students to operate a virtual spring dynamometer to experience real-world tension. Combined with a "virtual preview - real-world verification - virtual expansion" model, a middle school's experimental error rate was reduced by 50% [4].

5.2. Resource Balance: Promoting Urban-Rural Sharing of STEM Education Resources

Leveraging the "New Education Infrastructure" policy, hardware resources are being allocated to rural areas. For example, the Ministry of Education's 2024 "STEM Education Poverty Alleviation Plan" will equip rural schools in western China with 100,000 low-cost teaching tablets with built-in virtual experiment resources and offline support. A mechanism for urban-rural resource sharing is also being established. For example, a key middle school in Shanghai has partnered with a rural school in a county in Yunnan to conduct cloud-based virtual experiment teaching twice a week, increasing rural students' interest by 35%. At the same time, a three-tiered STEM resource library will be established: national, provincial, and municipal. The national library will include high-quality university courses, the provincial library will supplement local content, and the municipal library will provide local lesson plans. By 2024, 15 provinces will have established provincial libraries, encompassing over 100,000 resources, with 45% of visits coming from rural areas.

5.3. Teacher Development: Improving the STEM Teaching Capacity Cultivation System

A tiered and categorized training system will be established, with novice teachers learning tool operation, core teachers learning interdisciplinary instructional design, and subject leaders learning teaching evaluation. This will utilize an "online + offline" model. For example, the Ministry of Education's 2024 special training program will cover 80,000 teachers, with 60% able to independently design teaching plans. Furthermore, a collaborative practice platform for schools and enterprises will be established, providing practical opportunities through collaboration with businesses and universities. For example, Baidu's collaborative practice base with Beijing Normal University selects 200 teachers annually to participate in case development, helping them understand the logic of teaching integration and enhance their application skills [5].

6. Building a Support System for the Implementation of AI-Enabled STEM Education

To ensure the implementation of optimized STEM education paths, a support system must be established across three dimensions: systems, evaluation, and collaboration. All measures are based on existing policy frameworks and practical experience, ensuring practical implementation.

6.1. Institutional Support: Improving Policies and Ethical Standards

At the national level, STEM education optimization will be incorporated into the education development plan, with clear goals for 2025-2030. Local governments will formulate detailed regulations based on their specific circumstances. For example, Guangdong Province issued the "STEM Education Innovation Action Plan" in 2024, providing schools that adopt innovative teaching models with annual subsidies of up to 500,000 yuan per school and rewarding outstanding teaching examples. A STEM education pilot program was also established. The 100 pilot schools identified by the Ministry of Education in 2024 have already developed six exemplary models, including "adaptive learning" and "virtual simulation experiments." Furthermore, the "STEM Education Data Ethics Guidelines" were issued, clarifying the principles of "people-centeredness," "data minimization," and "fairness." A mechanism for ethical review of teaching products was established. For example, Shanghai reviewed over 50 STEM teaching products in 2024, rejecting 12 due to data security issues.

6.2. Evaluation Guarantee: Establishing a Multi-Dimensional Evaluation System

Construct a three-dimensional indicator system of "knowledge + ability + literacy": The knowledge dimension is assessed through classroom tests and homework feedback; the ability dimension is assessed through student project performance; and the literacy dimension is assessed through teacher observation and group peer evaluation. For example, a STEM education evaluation system in one district of Beijing generates a three-dimensional report for students: "Knowledge Mastery 80 points, Innovation Ability 75 points, and Scientific Attitude 90 points." A combined "process-based + summative" evaluation approach is also employed: process-based evaluation relies on teaching record tools to collect student experimental operation traces and project revision records; summative evaluation is conducted through "project results presentation + comprehensive testing." For example, one middle school required students to submit a report on a "waste sorting optimization project" and take a comprehensive interdisciplinary test. Each of these two evaluation categories accounts for 50% of the total. After implementation, students' learning initiative increased by 40%.

6.3. Collaborative Guarantee: Building a Multi-Stakeholder Collaboration Mechanism

Government-led coordination, such as the Jiangsu Province STEM Education Coordination Leading Group established in 2024, will collaborate with education, science and technology, and finance departments to address hardware procurement and teacher training issues. Schools will establish STEM teaching innovation teams to regularly discuss teaching issues. A Shenzhen middle school team achieved an 85% student satisfaction rating within six months. Education technology companies will focus on developing tools tailored to specific needs and provide 24-hour technical support. For example, iFlytek conducts monthly online training for partner schools. Universities will strengthen research on STEM education innovation, such as Beijing Normal University collaborated with 10 primary and secondary schools to develop three teaching plans. The results were included in the "STEM Education Development Report" to provide guidance for practical application [6].

7. Conclusion

This paper analyzes STEM education models and optimization paths, reaching key conclusions: First, teaching tool optimization and model innovation are inherently aligned with STEM education. Their data processing, personalized adaptation, and scenario simulation capabilities can address the challenges of traditional STEM education, including insufficient personalization, limited practice, and resource inequality. This not only improves efficiency but also promotes the transformation from "knowledge transfer" to "ability cultivation." This conclusion is supported by research data from Beijing Normal University, the Chinese Academy of Sciences, and other institutions, as well as practical school practices. Second, current STEM education has developed three optimization models: adaptive learning, virtual simulation experiments, and interdisciplinary PBL. These models are personalized, secure, and practical in practice. However, they face challenges such as insufficient transparency in tool logic, data security risks, weak teacher capabilities, and resource inequality. These challenges require a coordinated approach of technological optimization, resource balancing, teacher development, and institutional development. Third, the core optimization paths are: At the technical level, improving tool transparency and data security, promoting the integration of virtual and real-world experiments; at the resource level, leveraging "new education infrastructure" to promote balanced urban and rural development and establishing a three-tiered resource library; at the teacher level, improving tiered training and school-enterprise practice; and at the institutional level, implementing policies and ethical standards, establishing a multifaceted evaluation system, and forming a four-dimensional collaborative mechanism. This path is feasible. Finally, technological tools are the "enablers" of STEM education. We must adhere to a people-oriented approach, avoid technological alienation, and learn from the experiences of other countries to explore distinctive paths. This study did not address the optimization of STEM applications in vocational education, and the discussion of educational data ethics needs to be deepened. Future research could focus on vocational education, analyzing skill training models, and strengthening empirical research on data ethics. STEM optimization requires multi-sector collaboration to promote high-quality development and provide support for the cultivation of innovative scientific and technological talent.

References

[1] Qiu Xuemei, Chenggong. Exploration of innovative education models for county urbanization in the era of artificial intelligence [N]. Economic Herald, 2024-05-06(005). DOI:10.28420/n.cnki.njjdb.2024.000849.

[2] Chng E, Tan AL, Tan S C. Exploring the application of emerging technologies in schools: A review of the application of artificial intelligence and immersive technology in STEM education [J]. Journal of STEM Education Research, 2023, 6(3): 385-407.

[3] Wang Dan, Huang Xin. Transforming education through artificial intelligence and immersive technology: enhancing learning experience [J]. Interactive Learning Environment, 2025: 1-20.

[4] Dou Yongjiang, Huang Quanzhen, Chen Deli, et al. New era of productivity: innovation and practical exploration of artificial intelligence professional education model [J]. Computer Knowledge and Technology, 2024, 20(34): 124-126+133. DOI:10.14004/j.cnki.ckt.2024.1840.

[5] Bilgin AS, Molina Ascanio M, Minoli M. STEM goes digital: how technology enhances STEM teaching [J]. European Astronomical Observatory, Brussels, November 2022.

[6] Du Jin. Research on the implementation plan of AI literacy education in colleges and universities under the background of

generative artificial intelligence [J]. Library Theory and Practice, 2025, (01): 111-121+136. DOI:10.14064/j.cnki.issn1005-8214.2025.01.007.